



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,116	10/23/2001	Henry Lamparski	348022000501	3354
24353 75	90 05/04/2004		EXAMINER	
BOZICEVIC, FIELD & FRANCIS LLP			VOGEL, NANCY S	
SUITE 200	200 MIDDLEFIELD RD SUITE 200		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MENLO PARK, CA 94025			1636	
			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. 1
B.
2
à
V

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/045,116 LAMPARSKI ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner **Art Unit** 1636 Nancy T. Vogel -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). **Status** 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 February 2004</u>. 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** 4) \boxtimes Claim(s) 33,36 and 38-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 33,36 and 38-50 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. **Application Papers** 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ___

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/045,116

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

This Office action is in response to applicant's amendment and arguments submitted 2/2/04.

Claims 33, 36, and 38-50 are pending in the case.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 33 and 38-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Hallenbeck et al. (WO 96/17053).

This rejection is maintained essentially for reasons made of record in the previous Office action, mailed 10/1/03.

Applicants have argued that WO 96/17053 does not teach replication competent adenovirus vectors with two or more adenovirus genes essential for replication under control of two or more different heterologous cell-specific TREs (tissue-specific regulatory element). Applicants describe Examples 1-5 of WO 96/17053 in which various promoters and enhancers are linked to adenovirus genes (page 5 of the arguments). However, it is maintained that at page 17, lines 5-6, the reference discloses that E1 and E1b may each be operably linked to heterologous tissue specific regulatory sequences. Therefore, applicants arguments are not found convincing.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,116

Art Unit: 1636

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 36 and 43-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hallenbeck et al. (WO 96/17053) in view of Richards et al. (WO 95/14100).

This rejection is maintained essentially for the reasons made of record in the previous Office action.

Applicants have argued that since the citation of Richards et al. does not remedy the deficiencies of Hallenbeck et al., the combined references fail to teach the use of heterologous promoters controlling a firs and second adenoviral gene essential for replication (page 5 of the arguments). However, for the reasons set forth above, it is maintained that Hallenbeck et al. does indeed teach replication competent adenovirus vectors with two or more adenovirus genes essential for replication under control of two or more different heterologous cell-specific TREs. Therefore, applicant's arguments are not found convincing.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nancy T. Vogel whose telephone number is (571) 272-0780. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 - 3:00, Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Irem Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

4/29/04

TERRY MCKELVEY

Ten agnitelin